

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Kamat Tower, Seventh Floor, Patto Panaji-Goa

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner.

Appeal No. 36/2017

Shri Jawaharlal T. Shetye
H.No. 35, ward No 11,
Khorlim Mapusa-Goa

.....Appellant

V/s

1. Public Information Officer (PIO),
Dy. Collector and SDO Bardez,
Mapusa, Bardez-Goa
 2. The First Appellate Authority (FAA),
Additional Collector-I,
Panaji-Goa
-Respondents

Appeal filed on: 7/04/2017

Disposed on:- 16/10/2017

ORDER

1. Brief facts leading to present appeal are as under:
2. The appellant Shri J. T. Shetye by his application dated 12/10/2016 sought certain information on 5 points from the Public Information Officer (PIO), Deputy Collector, Bardez, Mapusa-Goa with reference to representation dated 06/07/2016 made by him.
3. According to the appellant the application dated 12/10/16 which was filed under 6(1) was responded by PIO on 9/11/2016 thereby furnishing information as "NIL" as such being not satisfied with reply the appellant preferred the 1st appeal before the Respondent No. 2 Additional Collector, North Goa, being First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 20/12/2016.
4. According to the appellant the Respondent No. 2 issued notices to him and hearing were taken on 3 occasion despite failed to pass an appropriate order within stipulated time.
5. As both the Respondents did not adhere to his request for furnishing the information and being aggrieved by the action of both Respondents the

appellant have approached this Commission on 7/04/17 with the prayer to penalise Chandrakant Shetkar then PIO u/s 20(1) of Right To Information Act and for initiating disciplinary proceedings. Vide said appeal he has also sought for the relief for implementation of section 4(1)(a) and 4(1)(b) of Right To Information Act 2005.

6. In pursuant to notice of this Commission appellant was present in person. Assistant Public Information Officer (APIO) Shri Keerti Kumar Bandodkar was present who filed reply on 4/09/2017 and 15/09/2017. Present PIO Shri Gaurish Sankhawalkar also appeared during subsequent hearings.
7. On 16/10/2017 the appellant appeared in person and submitted that he has received information by post and that he has got no grievance with the present PIO. He showed his desire to withdraw the same and accordingly made his endorsement on the last page of memo of appeal thereby seeking liberty to seek further information by filing fresh RTI applications.
8. In view of his submissions and the endorsement made by him I find no reason to proceed with the present matter.
9. Appeal disposed as withdrawn proceeding stands closed.

Pronounced in open proceedings. Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Sd/-

(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)

State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa

KK/-

